arXiv:1402.0421v2 [math.CO] 14 Dec 2014

Generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations for hypergraphs

Vladimir Grujić
Faculty of Mathematics
Belgrade University
Serbia
vgrujic@matf.bg.ac.rs

Tanja Stojadinović
Faculty of Mathematics
Belgrade University
Serbia
tanjas@matf.bg.ac.rs

Duško Jojić
Faculty of Science
University of Banja Luka
Bosnia and Herzegovina
ducci68@blic.net

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 16T05, 16T30, 05C65, 05E45

Abstract

The generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations determine the odd subalgebra of the combinatorial Hopf algebra. We introduce a class of eulerian hypergraphs that satisfy the generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations for the combinatorial Hopf algebra of hypergraphs. We characterize a wide class of eulerian hypergraphs according to the combinatorics of underlying clutters. The analogous results hold for simplicial complexes by the isomorphism which is induced from the correspondence of clutters and simplicial complexes.

Keywords: Hopf algebra, hypergraph, clutter, simplicial complex, generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations

1 Introduction

The combinatorial Hopf algebra is a graded connected Hopf algebra with the multiplicative linear functional. The theory of combinatorial Hopf algebras is established in [2]. The motivation and terminology come from the main example of the Rota's Hopf algebra of finite graded posets [5]. The generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations determine the odd subalgebra of the combinatorial Hopf algebra. In the case of Rota's Hopf algebra of posets the generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations are precisely the relations introduced by Bayer and Billera for the flag $f-$vector of a finite graded poset [3]. The eulerian posets satisfy

these relations and generate the proper subalgebra of the odd subalgebra of posets.

The combinatorial Hopf algebra of hypergraphs extends the chromatic Hopf algebra of graphs. The chromatic symmetric function of a hypergraph, firstly introduced in [7], appears as the image of the canonical morphism to quasi-symmetric functions. The classes of hypergraphs closed under disjoint sums and restrictions form Hopf subalgebras of hypergraphs. Clutters and building sets are objects of this type. They have an important role in combinatorial algebra and geometry.

The combinatorial Hopf algebra of building sets is extensively studied in [4]. All the main properties of a building set, hypergraph and simplicial complex essentially depend on the underlying clutters: minimal elements of the generating collection, minimal edges and minimal non-faces. We use this fact to elaborate the results from [4] for building sets to hypergraphs and simplicial complexes. Also we correct the deficiency in the formulation of [4, Theorem 8.11], where the characterization of eulerian building sets is given in terms of combinatorics of underlying clutters.

We say that a hypergraph is eulerian if the Euler character is annihilated at all induced subhypergraphs. The eulerian hypergraphs generate the proper subalgebra of the odd subalgebra of hypergraphs. A hypergraph is eulerian if and only if the clutter of its minimal edges is eulerian. To a clutter is associated the intersection complex called the nerve. We obtain that an odd clutter whose the nerve is a clique complex of a chordal graph is eulerian. The converse is true under additional condition that any proper subclutter is also a proper restriction. Another characterization follows from Stanley's expansion of the chromatic symmetric function of a hypergraph into the power sum symmetric functions.

There is a natural correspondence of clutters and simplicial complexes. To a clutter is associated the independence complex, by analogy to independence complexes of simple graphs. On the other hand, a simplicial complex determines the clutter of its minimal nonfaces. A coloring of a clutter is equivalent to a partitioning of its independence complex. Henceforth the canonical morphism to a simplicial complex associates the generating function of its partition functions. The eulerian simplicial complexes correspond to independence complexes of eulerian clutters.

2 Preliminaries from combinatorial Hopf algebras

We fix some notations and review basic definitions and facts from the theory of combinatorial Hopf algebras developed in [2]. A *composition* $\alpha \models n$ of an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sequence $\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ of positive integers with $a_1 + \cdots + a_k = n$. Let $k(\alpha) = k$ be the length of α. A *partition* $\lambda \vdash n$ is a composition $\lambda \models n$ with $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_k$.

The *combinatorial Hopf algebra* $(\mathcal{H},\zeta)$ (CHA for short) over a field $\mathbf{k}$ is a graded connected Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}=\oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{H}_n$ equipped with the multiplicative linear functional $\zeta:\mathcal{H}\to\mathbf{k}$ called the *character*. The terminal object in the category of CHA's is the CHA of quasisymmetric functions $(QSym,\zeta_Q)$. The basic reference for quasisymmetric functions is [6]. Let $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha\models n,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the linear basis of monomial quasisymmetric functions, where

$$M_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)}=\sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_k} x_{i_1}^{a_1}\cdots x_{i_k}^{a_k}.$$

The character ζ_Q on the monomial basis is defined by $\zeta_Q(M_\alpha)=\begin{cases}1, & \alpha=(n) \text{ or } ()\\ 0, & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$. The unique canonical morphism $\Psi:(\mathcal{H},\zeta)\to(QSym,\zeta_Q)$ is given on homogeneous elements by

$$\Psi(h)=\sum_{\alpha\models n}\zeta_\alpha(h)M_\alpha,\ h\in\mathcal{H}_n, \tag{1}$$

where ζ_α is the convolution product

$$\zeta_\alpha=\zeta_{a_1}\cdots\zeta_{a_k}:\mathcal{H}\stackrel{\Delta^{(k-1)}}{\longrightarrow}\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}\stackrel{proj}{\longrightarrow}\mathcal{H}_{a_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{a_k}\stackrel{\zeta^{\otimes k}}{\longrightarrow}\mathbf{k}. \tag{2}$$

The algebra of symmetric functions Sym is the subalgebra of $QSym$ and it is the terminal object in the category of cocommutative combinatorial Hopf algebras.

A graded connected bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ possesses the antipode

$$S=\sum_{k\geq 0}(-1)^k m^{(k-1)}\circ\pi^{\otimes k}\circ\Delta^{(k-1)}, \tag{3}$$

where $\pi=I-u\epsilon$ and I,u,ϵ are identity map, unit and counit of $\mathcal{H}$ respectively. The convolution inverse ζ^{-1}, called the *Möbius character* of $\mathcal{H}$, is the composition $\zeta^{-1}=\zeta\circ S$. Let $\overline{\zeta}$ be the *conjugate character* defined on homogeneous elements by $\overline{\zeta}(h)=(-1)^n\zeta(h),\ h\in\mathcal{H}_n$. Then the *Euler character* χ of CHA $(\mathcal{H},\zeta)$ is defined by

$$\chi=\overline{\zeta}\zeta:\mathcal{H}\stackrel{\Delta}{\longrightarrow}\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}\stackrel{\overline{\zeta}\otimes\zeta}{\longrightarrow}\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{k}\stackrel{m}{\to}\mathbf{k}.$$

The *odd subalgebra* $S_-(\mathcal{H},\zeta)$ is the largest graded Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ on which the character is odd $\zeta^{-1}=\overline{\zeta}$. A morphism $\phi:(\mathcal{H}_1,\zeta_1)\to(\mathcal{H}_2,\zeta_2)$ preserves the odd subalgebra $\phi(S_-(\mathcal{H}_1,\zeta_1))\subset S_-(\mathcal{H}_2,\zeta_2)$. The *generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations* for CHA $(\mathcal{H},\zeta)$

$$(id\otimes(\overline{\zeta}-\zeta^{-1})\otimes id)\circ\Delta^{(2)}(h)=0 \tag{4}$$

describe the sufficient and necessary conditions for homogeneous elements to belong to the odd subalgebra $S_-(\mathcal{H},\zeta)$. Given a homogeneous element $h\in S_-(\mathcal{H},\zeta)$ of the rank n, it follows from (1) and the generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations for quasi-symmetric functions ([2], Example 5.10) that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a_i}(-1)^j\zeta_{(a_1,\ldots,a_{i-1},j,a_i-j,a_{i+1},\ldots,a_k)}(h)=0, \tag{5}$$

for each $\alpha=(a_1,\ldots,a_k)\models n$ and $i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}$.

Rota's Hopf algebra of posets The set of isomorphism classes of finite graded posets $\mathbf{P}$ spans the Hopf algebra of posets $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P})$ with the product $P_1\cdot P_2=P_1\times P_2$ and the coproduct

$$\Delta(P)=\sum_{0_P\leq x\leq 1_P}[0,x]\otimes[x,1].$$

Let $\zeta(P)=1$ for any poset P. The antipode formula (3) gives

$$S(P)=\sum_{k\geq 1}(-1)^k\sum_{0<x_1<\cdots<x_{k-1}<1}[0,x_1]\otimes\cdots\otimes[x_{k-1},1].$$

The Möbius character $\zeta^{-1}=\zeta\circ S$ is the classical Möbius function on posets. A poset P is eulerian if and only if the Euler character is annihilated at all closed intervals $\chi([x,y])=0, x<y\in P$.

The canonical morphism $\Psi:(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P}),\zeta)\to(QSym,\zeta_Q)$ to a poset P assigns the generating function of its flag $f-$vector

$$\Psi(P)=\sum_{\alpha\models n}f_\alpha(P)M_\alpha.$$

The relations (5) are precisely the Bayer-Billera relations for the flag $f-$vector of an eulerian poset P.

3 Hopf algebra of hypergraphs

A *hypergraph* H on the finite vertex set V is a collection $H\subset\mathcal{P}(V)$ of nonempty subsets of V. The subsets $e\in H$ are called edges and we require that any edge has at least two vertices. The hypergraph D with no edges is the discrete hypergraph on V. Two hypergraphs H_1 and H_2 are isomorphic if there is a bijection $f:V(H_1)\to V(H_2)$ such that $e\in H_1$ if and only if $f(e)\in H_2$. A restriction of the hypergraph H on the vertex set $I\subset V(H)$ is the hypergraph $H|_I=\{e\in H|e\subset I\}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H})=\oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{H}_n(\mathbf{H})$ be the graded $\mathbf{k}-$vector space linearly spanned by the set $\mathbf{H}$ of all isomorphism classes of finite hypergraphs, where the grading is given by the number of vertices. Define the product and the coproduct by

$$H_1\sqcup H_2=\{e\subset V(H_1)\sqcup V(H_2)\mid e\in H_1 \text{ or } e\in H_2\},$$
$$\Delta(H)=\sum_{I\subset V(H)}H|_I\otimes H|_{V(H)\setminus I}.$$

Then the space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H})$ is a graded connected commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra. The unit element is the hypergraph $H_\emptyset$ on the empty set of vertices and the counit is defined by $\epsilon(H) = \begin{cases} 1, & H = H_\emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. The antipode of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H})$ is determined by (3) with

$$S(H) = \sum_{k\geq 1}(-1)^k \sum_{I_1\sqcup\ldots\sqcup I_k = V} H|_{I_1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup H|_{I_k}, \tag{6}$$

where the sum goes over all ordered decompositions of the vertex set.

Let $\zeta_{\mathbf{H}}(H) = \begin{cases} 1, & H \text{ is discrete} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. We obtain the CHA of hypergraphs $(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H}), \zeta_{\mathbf{H}})$. The canonical morphism (1) of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H})$ to quasisymmetric functions is determined by

$$\Psi(H) = \sum_{\alpha\models n} (\zeta_{\mathbf{H}})_\alpha(H) M_\alpha,\ H \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathbf{H}).$$

For a composition $\alpha = \{a_1, \ldots, a_k\} \models n$ the coefficient $(\zeta_{\mathbf{H}})_\alpha(H)$ is the number of ordered decomposition $(I_1, \ldots, I_k)$ of the vertex set V such that $H|_{I_j}$ is discrete of the rank a_j for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Since $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H})$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra, the function $\Psi(H)$ is symmetric. It is easily seen to be the generating function $\Psi(H) = \sum_f \prod_{v\in V} x_{f(v)}$ of *proper colorings* $f : V \to \mathbb{N}$ of a hypergraph H. Recall that a coloring $f : V \to \mathbb{N}$ is proper if it is not monochromatic on edges of H.

The principal specialization $\chi(H, m) = \Psi(H)(1^m)$ defines the *chromatic polynomial* of a hypergraph H. The value $\chi(H, m)$ is the number of proper colorings of the hypergraph H by at most m colors. By definition of the character $\zeta_{\mathbf{H}}$ we have $\chi(H, m) = \zeta^m_{\mathbf{H}}(H)$. It follows from the antipode formula (6) that

$$\chi(H, -1) = \zeta^{-1}_{\mathbf{H}}(H) = \zeta_{\mathbf{H}} \circ S(H) = \sum_{\alpha\models n} (-1)^{k(\alpha)} (\zeta_{\mathbf{H}})_\alpha(H). \tag{7}$$

Recall that if H is a simple graph, the chromatic polynomial $\chi(H, m)$ calculated at $m = -1$ gives the number of acyclic orientations of H.

Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a family of isomorphism classes of hypergraphs closed under taking disjoint sums and restrictions. The $\mathbf{k}-$vector space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{S})$ with the basis $\mathbf{S}$ and with the character $\zeta_{\mathbf{S}} = \zeta|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{S})}$ is a combinatorial Hopf subalgebra of the algebra of hypergraphs $(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H}), \zeta_{\mathbf{H}})$.

Example 3.1. Let $\mathbf{D} = \{D_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a family of discrete hypergraphs. Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D})$ is isomorphic to the polynomial Hopf algebra $\mathbf{k}[x]$, where $x = D_1$. The character $\zeta_1 = \zeta|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D})}$ is defined by $\zeta_1(p) = p(1), p \in \mathbf{k}[x]$.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be the class of simple graphs considered as $2-$uniform hypergraphs. Then $(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G}), \zeta_{\mathbf{G}})$ is the chromatic Hopf algebra of graphs.

We distinguish two important classes of hypergraphs that are closed under restrictions and disjoint unions.

Clutters A *clutter* C on the finite set V is a hypergraph which is an antichain in the boolean poset $\mathcal{P}(V)$ of all subsets of V. For a hypergraph H denote by $C(H)$ the clutter of minimal edges of H. The clutters are naturally identified with simplicial complexes. A simplicial complex K defines the clutter of its maximal faces. The family of all isomorphism classes of clutters $\mathbf{C}$ defines the combinatorial Hopf algebra of clutters $(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C}), \zeta_{\mathbf{C}})$. The obvious inclusions are monomorphisms of CHA

$$(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}), \zeta_{\mathbf{D}}) \subset (\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G}), \zeta_{\mathbf{G}}) \subset (\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C}), \zeta_{\mathbf{C}}).$$

Building sets A collection B of non-empty subsets of the vertex set V is a *building set* on V if it satisfies

$\diamond$ If $e, e' \in B$ and $e \cap e' \neq \emptyset$ then $e \cup e' \in B$

$\diamond$ $\{v\} \in B$ for all $v \in V$.

We identify a building set B with the hypergraph $B \setminus \{\{v\} \mid v \in V\}$. The family of all isomorphism classes of building sets $\mathbf{B}$ defines the combinatorial Hopf algebra of building sets $(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{B}), \zeta_{\mathbf{B}})$. This algebra is studied in [4].

Let $p : \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H}) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C})$ be the projection map which assigns the clutter $C(H)$ of minimal edges to a hypergraph H. Since $C(H_1 \sqcup H_2) = C(H_1) \sqcup C(H_2)$ for $H_1, H_2 \in \mathbf{H}$ and $C(H|_I) = C(H)|_I$ for $H \in \mathbf{H}$ and any $I \subset V(H)$, the map p is a Hopf algebra epimorphism. It holds that $p \circ i = 1_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C})}$, where $i : \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C}) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H})$ is the inclusion map. Thus i is a splitting monomorphism.

The odd subalgebra of hypergraphs $S_-(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H}), \zeta_{\mathbf{H}})$ is determined by the generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations (4). Since the inclusion $i : (\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C}), \zeta_{\mathbf{C}}) \to (\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H}), \zeta_{\mathbf{H}})$ is a morphism of CHA's, we have $S_-(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C}), \zeta_{\mathbf{C}}) = S_-(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H}), \zeta_{\mathbf{H}}) \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C})$.

Definition 3.3. A hypergraph H is eulerian if $\chi_{\mathbf{H}}(H|_I) = \epsilon(H|_I)$ for all $I \subset V(H)$, where $\chi_{\mathbf{H}} = \overline{\zeta}_{\mathbf{H}} \zeta_{\mathbf{H}}$ is the Euler character of CHA $(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H}), \zeta_{\mathbf{H}})$.

The following characterization is just a reformulation of the definition.

Lemma 3.4. *A hypergraph $H \neq H_\emptyset$ is eulerian if and only if either $H|_I$ is descrete or $\zeta_{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}(H|_I) = 0$, for all $\emptyset \neq I \subset V(H)$.*

Proof. The condition $\chi_{\mathbf{H}}(H) = \epsilon(H)$ is equivalent to $\zeta_{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}(H) = \overline{\zeta}_{\mathbf{H}}(H)$. Let $\chi(D_n, m) = m^n$ be the chromatic polynomial of the discrete hypergraph D_n on n vertices. By (7) we have $\zeta^{-1}(D_n) = \chi(D_n, -1) = (-1)^n = \overline{\zeta}(D_n)$. As $\overline{\zeta}_{\mathbf{H}}(H) = 0$ for the nondiscrete H, the lemma follows. □

Disjoint sums and restrictions of eulerian hypergraphs are eulerian as well, so the family of all eulerian hypergraphs form the Hopf subalgebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H})$ of CHA $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H})$, which we call the *eulerian subalgebra* of hypergraphs.

Proposition 3.5. *The eulerian subalgebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H})$ is a subalgebra of the odd algebra $S_-(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H}), \zeta_{\mathbf{H}})$. The coefficients $(\zeta_{\mathbf{H}})_\alpha(H), \alpha \models |V(H)|$ of an eulerian hypergraph $H \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H})$ satisfy relations* (5).

Proof. The odd subalgebra $S_-(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H}), \zeta_{\mathbf{H}})$ is characterized by the generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations (4)

$$(id \otimes (\zeta^{-1} - \overline{\zeta}) \otimes id) \circ \Delta^{(2)}(H) = \sum_{I \sqcup J \sqcup K = V(H)} H|_I \otimes (\zeta^{-1} - \overline{\zeta})(H|_J) \otimes H|_K =$$

$\sum_{J \subset V(H)} (\zeta^{-1} - \overline{\zeta})(H|_J)\Delta(H|_{J^c}) = 0$. It follows that $H \in S_-(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{H}), \zeta_{\mathbf{H}})$ if $(\zeta^{-1} - \overline{\zeta})(H|_J) = 0$ for all $J \subset X$, which is equivalent to $H \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H})$ by lemma 3.4. The relations (4) imply (5).

□

Let $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H}) \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C})$ be the eulerian subalgebra of clutters.

Proposition 3.6. *A hypergraph H is eulerian if and only if the clutter $C(H)$ is eulerian.*

Proof. The proposition follows from the identity $\chi_{\mathbf{H}}(H) = \chi_{\mathbf{C}}(C(H)), H \in \mathbf{H}$, which is a consequence of the fact that the projection p is a morphism of CHA's, i.e. $\zeta_{\mathbf{H}} = \zeta_{\mathbf{C}} \circ p$.

□

The main computational tool is the *deletion-contraction property*. The chromatic symmetric function of a hypergraph H depends only on the clutter of minimal edges, i.e. $\Psi(H) = \Psi(C(H))$. Therefore $\chi(H, m) = \chi(C(H), m)$ for chromatic polynomials. For a clutter C on the vertices V and an edge $e \in C$ define $C - e := C \setminus \{e\}$ to be *deletion* of e from C and $C/e = \{e'/e | e' \in C - e\}$ to be *contraction* of C by e, where C/e is the clutter on $V \setminus e \cup \{e\}$ and $e'/e = \begin{cases} e', & e' \cap e = \emptyset \\ e' \setminus e \cup \{e\}, & e' \cap e \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$. Then

$$\chi(C, m) = \chi(C - e, m) - \chi(C/e, m),$$

and specially for $m = -1$ we obtain by (7)

$$\zeta^{-1}(C) = \zeta^{-1}(C - e) - \zeta^{-1}(C/e). \tag{8}$$

To a clutter C is associated the abstract simplicial complex on the vertex set C, called the *nerve* $N(C) = \{C' \subset C | \cap C' \neq \emptyset\}$. The *intersection graph* $G(C)$ of a clutter is the 1-skeleton $N(C)^{(1)}$ of the nerve. We say that C is an *odd clutter* if $\cap C'$ is an odd element set for all faces $C' \in N(C)$ of the nerve. The wide class of eulerian clutters is identified by the following theorem which is proved for building sets in [4].

Theorem 3.7. *[4, Proposition 8.10] Let C be an odd clutter such that*

(i) The graph $G(C)$ is a chordal graph

(ii) The nerve $N(C)$ is the clique complex of $G(C)$.

Then C is an eulerian clutter.

Proof. We may suppose that $\cup C = V$. For $\{V\}$ we have $\zeta^{-1}(\{V\}) = (-1)^{|V|}+1$ by deletion-contraction, so $\{V\}$ is eulerian if and only if $|V|$ is odd. Suppose the statement is true for all clutters with $n > 1$ edges and let $C = \{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a clutter with $n+1$ edges. Since the property of being a clique complex of a chordal graph is hereditary on full subcomplexes it is sufficient to prove that $\zeta^{-1}(C) = 0$. But

$$\zeta^{-1}(C) = -\zeta^{-1}(C/e_0),$$

by deletion-contraction since $\zeta^{-1}(C-e_0) = 0$ by induction. Let σ be the simplex on the set $\{e \in C - e_0 | e \cap e_0 \neq \emptyset\}$. We have

$$N(C/e_0) = N(C - e_0) \cup \sigma.$$

The proof follows from the fact that C/e_0 satisfies conditions of theorem. □

The converse of Theorem 3.7 is not true in general. The following example is due to N. Erokhovets.

Example 3.8. Let $C = \{\{1,2,3,6,7\},\{3,4,5,7,8\},\{1,2,3,4,5\}\}$ or, more generally, $C = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that $|e_i|$-odd for all i, $e_3 \subsetneq e_1 \cup e_2$, $|e_1 \cap e_2|$-even, $|e_1 \cap e_2 \cap e_3|, |e_1 \cap e_3|, |e_2 \cap e_3|$-odd. C is not odd since $|e_1 \cap e_2|$-even. For any proper set $I \subsetneq V$ we have $C|_I$ is either discrete, or it consists of one odd set, or it consists of two odd sets with odd intersection. So C is eulerian if and only if $\zeta^{-1}(C) = 0$. We have

$$\zeta^{-1}(C) = \zeta^{-1}(C - e_3) - \zeta^{-1}(C/e_3) = 0,$$

since both deletion and contraction is a clutter of two odd sets with even intersection.

The following examples show that even conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.7 have not to be satisfied by eulerian clutters.

Example 3.9. Let $C_1 = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, where $e_1 = \{1,2,3,4,5\}, e_2 = \{5,6,7,8,9\}, e_3 = \{3,4,5,6,7\}$ and $e_4 = \{1,9,10\}$ be a clutter on 10 vertices. The nerve $N(C_1)$ is not a flag complex since $\{e_1, e_2, e_4\}$ is a minimal nonface. By simple inspection we see that C_1 is eulerian iff $\zeta^{-1}(C_1) = 0$, which is satisfied, since

$$\zeta^{-1}(C_1) = \zeta^{-1}(C - e_3) - \zeta^{-1}(C/e_3) = 0.$$

Similarly, let $C_2 = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_5, e_6\}$, where $e_5 = \{1, 10, 11\}$ and $e_6 = \{9, 11, 12\}$, be a clutter on 12 vertices. Then it is easy to see that C_2 is eulerian, but $G(C_2)$ is not a chordal graph.

Nevertheless the converse of Theorem 3.7 holds for an eulerian clutters with an additional condition.

Theorem 3.10. *Let C be an eulerian clutter such that*

$$(*) \qquad e \subsetneq \cup(C - e), \text{ for all } e \in C.$$

Then C is odd and the nerve $N(C)$ is the clique complex of the chordal graph $G(C)$.

Proof. Note that $(*)$ is equivalent to the condition that any subclutter $C' \subsetneq C$ is a proper restriction of C, i.e. for the sets of vertices holds $V(C') \subsetneq V(C)$.

Let $C' \subset C$ be a minimal face of $N(C)$ such that $\cap C'$ is even. Then by induction and deletion-contraction follows $\zeta^{-1}(C') = (-1)^{|C'|-1}2$. We have that either $C' = C$ or $C' \subsetneq C$. In both cases C is not eulerian.

Case 1: Suppose $C' = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a minimal nonface of $N(C)$ and $n > 2$. Then $C' - e_1$ satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.7, so $\zeta^{-1}(C') = -\zeta^{-1}(C'/e_1)$ by deletion-contraction. But $N(C'/e_1)$ is a minimal face of $N(C/e_1)$ such that $\cap(C'/e_1)$ is even, which implies $\zeta^{-1}(C'/e_1) = (-1)^{n-2}2$.

Case 2: Let $C' = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \subset C$ be an odd clutter such that $N(C')$ is the n-cycle with no chords. We find by induction and deletion-contraction that $\zeta^{-1}(C') = (-1)^{n-1}2$.

In both cases it follows from $(*)$ that either $C' = C$ or C' is a proper restriction of C, which contradicts the condition C is eulerian.

□

Let C be a clutter on the vertex set V. For a subclutter $S \subset C$, let $\lambda(S) \vdash |V|$ be the partition of the number of vertices $|V|$ whose parts are equal to the numbers of vertices of connected components of S. The chromatic symmetric function $\Psi(C)$ of a clutter C has the following expansion in the power sum basis of symmetric functions (Theorem 3.5, [7])

$$\Psi(C) = \sum_{S \subset C} (-1)^{|S|} p_{\lambda(S)}.$$

Proposition 3.11. *The canonical morphism $\Psi : \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C}) \to Sym$ is an epimorphism.*

Proof. Let $D_{[n]} = \{[n]\}$ be a clutter on $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. For a partition $\lambda = \{\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k\}$ set $D_\lambda = D_{[\lambda_1]} \sqcup D_{[\lambda_2]} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup D_{[\lambda_k]}$. We have

$$p_\lambda = \prod_{i=1,k} p_{\lambda_i} = \prod_{i=1,j} (\Psi(D_{\lambda_i}) - \Psi(D_{[\lambda_i]})) \cdot \Psi(D_{k-j}),$$

where $j = \max\{i | \lambda_i > 1\}$. On the other hand

$$\Psi(D_\lambda) = \prod_{i=1,k} \Psi(D_{[\lambda_i]}) = \prod_{i=1,j} (p_{1^{\lambda_i}} - p_{\lambda_i}) \cdot p_{1^{k-j}},$$

which shows that the transition matrix of bases $\{p_\lambda\}$ and $\{\Psi(D_\lambda)\}$ is an involution. □

If a clutter C satisfies the generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations, i.e. $C \in S_-(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C}), \zeta_{\mathbf{C}})$ then $\Psi(C) \in S_-(Sym, \zeta_S)$. We say that a partition λ is odd if all its parts are odd. The odd subalgebra of symmetric functions is linearly

spanned by the set of all odd power sum symmetric functions, (Proposition 7.1, [2])

$$S_-(Sym, \zeta_S) = \text{span}\{p_\lambda | \lambda \text{ is odd}\}.$$

Theorem 3.12. *The clutter C is eulerian if the partition $\lambda(S)$ is odd for any subclutter $S \subset C$.*

Proof. Particulary, we have that $\lambda(C')$ is an odd partition for any simplex $C' \in N(C)$. Consequently the clutter C is odd. We show that C satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.7:

(i) Suppose there is a nonchordal cycle $e_1, \ldots, e_k, k > 3$ in the intersection graph $G(C)$. As $\lambda(\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\})$ is an odd partition, we conclude that $|e_1 \cup \ldots \cup e_k|$ is odd. On the other hand

$$|e_1 \cup \ldots \cup e_k| = |e_1| + \cdots + |e_k| - |e_1 \cap e_2| - |e_2 \cap e_3| - \cdots - |e_k \cap e_1|,$$

which is even.

(ii) Suppose that $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}, k > 2$ is a minimal nonface of the nerve $N(C)$. Then

$$0 = |e_1 \cap \ldots \cap e_k| = |e_1 \cup \ldots \cup e_k| - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} |e_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap e_{i_k}|.$$

But any of $2^k - 1$ summands on the righthand side is odd since the clutter C is odd.

Hence the nerve $N(C)$ is a flag complex, i.e. it is the clique complex of the graph $G(C)$.

□

Remark 3.13. The eulerian clutters generate the proper subalgebra of the odd subalgebra of clutters. There are clutters which satisfy the generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations, but which are not eulerian. The simple example is given by the clutter on five vertices $C = \{\{1,2,3\}, \{2,3,4\},$
$\{1,3,4,5\}\}$. The clutter C and the eulerian clutter $C' = \{\{1,2,3\}, \{3,4,5\}\}$ have the same chromatic symmetric function

$$\Psi(C) = \Psi(C') = p_{1,1,1,1,1} - 2p_{3,1,1} + p_5.$$

4 Hopf algebra of simplicial complexes

An abstract simplicial complex K on the vertex set $V = V(K)$ is a lower ideal in the boolean poset $\mathcal{P}(V)$ of all subsets of V. An element $\sigma \in K$ is called a face of K. We require that $\{v\} \in K$ for all vertices $v \in V$. The induced subcomplex $K|_I$ of K on the vertex set $I \subset V(K)$ is a family $K|_I = \{\sigma \subset I | \sigma \in K\}$. The join of simplicial complexes K_1 and K_2 is the complex $K_1 * K_2 = \{\sigma \cup \tau \subset V(K_1) \sqcup V(K_2) | \sigma \in K_1, \tau \in K_2\}$. A simplicial isomorphism is a bijection $f : V(K_1) \to V(K_2)$ such that $\sigma \in K_1$ if and only if $f(\sigma) \in K_2$.

The *independence complex* $\mathrm{Ind}(C)$ of a clutter C is a simplicial complex $\mathrm{Ind}(C) = \{I \subset V(C) | C|_I \text{ is discrete}\}$. A simplicial complex K determines the clutter $C(K)$ of minimal nonfaces of K. These assignments are mutually inverse $\mathrm{Ind}(C(K)) = K, C(\mathrm{Ind}(C)) = C$ and satisfy the following properties

$$\mathrm{Ind}(C_1 \sqcup C_2) = \mathrm{Ind}(C_1) * \mathrm{Ind}(C_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ind}(C)|_I = \mathrm{Ind}(C|_I), \tag{9}$$

for all clutters C_1 and C_2 and for any $I \subset V(C)$.

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{K}) = \oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_n(\mathbf{K})$ be the graded $\mathbf{k}-$vector space linearly spanned by the set $\mathbf{K}$ of all isomorphism classes of finite simplicial complexes. The grading is given by the number of vertices. Let $\mathrm{Ind} : \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C}) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{K})$ be the linear extension of the map that associates the independence complex $\mathrm{Ind}(C)$ to a clutter C. By the properties (9) the space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{K})$ is equipped with the Hopf algebra structure with respect to which the map Ind is a Hopf algebra isomorphism. The product on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{K})$ is defined by the join $K_1 * K_2$ and the coproduct by induced subcomplexes $\Delta(K) = \sum_{I \subset V(K)} K|_I \otimes K|_{V(K) \setminus I}$. The space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{K})$ is a graded connected commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra. The unit element is the simplicial complex $K_\emptyset$ on the empty set of vertices and the counit is $\epsilon(K) = \begin{cases} 1, & K = K_\emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. The antipode is determined by (6)

$$S(K) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \sum_{I_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup I_k = V(K)} K|_{I_1} * \cdots * K|_{I_k},$$

where the sum goes over all ordered decompositions of the vertex set.

The map Ind become an isomorphism of CHA's if we take $\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}(K) = \begin{cases} 1, & K \text{ is a simplex} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

A *partition* of the simplicial complex K is the ordered decomposition $(I_1, \ldots, I_k)$ of the vertex set $V(K)$ such that all induced subcomplexes $K|_{I_1}, \ldots, K|_{I_k}$ are simpleces. For a composition $\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \models n$ the coefficient $(\zeta_{\mathbf{K}})_\alpha(K)$ is the number of partitions of K whose parts have the prescribed numbers of elements $|I_j| = a_j, 1 \leq j \leq k$. The canonical morphism $\Psi : (\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{K}), \zeta_{\mathbf{K}}) \longrightarrow (QSym, \zeta_Q)$, given by (1) with

$$\Psi(K) = \sum_{\alpha \models n} (\zeta_{\mathbf{K}})_\alpha(K) M_\alpha, \ K \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathbf{K}),$$

assigns the generating function of all partition functions to a simplicial complex K. A *partition function* of a simplicial complex K is the map f :

$V(K) \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{-1}(\{i\})$ is a face of K for all $i \in f(V(K))$. Then $\Psi(K) = \sum_f \prod_{v \in V(K)} x_{f(v)}$, where the sum is over all partition functions.

The principal specialization $\chi(K, m) = \zeta_{\mathbf{K}}^m(K) = \Psi(K)(1^m)$ defines the *partition polynomial* of a simplicial complex K which counts the number of partition functions $f : V(K) \to [m]$. The value $\chi(K, -1)$ is of special interest and by the antipode formula we have

$$\chi(K, -1) = \zeta_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}(K) = \zeta_{\mathbf{K}} \circ S(K) = \sum_{\alpha \models n} (-1)^{k(\alpha)} (\zeta_{\mathbf{K}})_\alpha(K).$$

The value of the Euler character $\chi_{\mathbf{K}} = \overline{\zeta}_{\mathbf{K}} \zeta_{\mathbf{K}}$ at a simplicial complex K is given by

$$\chi_{\mathbf{K}}(K) = \sum_{(I, I^c) \text{ partition}} (-1)^{|I|}.$$

Definition 4.1. A simplicial complex K is eulerian if $\chi_{\mathbf{K}}(K|_I) = \epsilon(K|_I)$ for all $I \subset V(K)$.

As in the case of hypergraphs we have an equivalent characterization

Lemma 4.2. *A simplicial complex $K \neq K_\emptyset$ is eulerian if and only if either $K|_I$ is a simplex or $\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}(K|_I) = 0$, for all $\emptyset \neq I \subset V(K)$.*

The eulerian subalgebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{K})$ is generated by all isomorphism classes of eulerian simplicial complexes. It is a subalgebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{K}) \subset S_-(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{K}), \zeta_{\mathbf{K}})$ of the odd algebra of CHA of simplicial complexes. Consequently the relations (5) are satisfied by coefficients $(\zeta_{\mathbf{K}})_\alpha, \alpha \models |V(K)|$ of the eulerian simplicial complexes $K \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{K})$.

Example 4.3. Suppose that $\{i, j\}$ is a minimal nonface of a simplicial complex K. Then $\chi(K|_{\{i,j\}}) = -2$, so K is not eulerian. The nessesary condition for K to be an eulerian is that its 1-skeleton is the complete graph on $V(K)$.

The isomorphism Ind gives the complete characterization of eulerian simplicial complexes.

Theorem 4.4. *A simplicial complex K is eulerian $K \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{K})$ if and only if it is the independence complex $K = Ind(C)$ of an eulerian clutter $C \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{C})$ or equivalently if and only if its clutter $C(K)$ of minimal nonfaces is eulerian $C(K) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{C})$.*

Example 4.5. 1. Let $\Delta[n]$ be a simplex on n vertices. The boundary complex $\partial\Delta[n]$ is eulerian if and only if n is odd.

2. Let C be a clutter such that the nerve complex $N(C)$ is a tree. Then the independence complex $\mathrm{Ind}(C)$ is eulerian if and only if C is odd.

Acknowledgments

The first two authors were supported by Ministry of Science of Republic of Serbia, project 174034. We thank to N. Erokhovets for valuable comments and for appointing us to the mistake in the formulation of [4, Theoerem 8.11].

References

[1] A. A. Ayzenberg, V. M. Buchstaber, Nerve Complexes and Moment-Angle Spaces of Convex Polytopes, Tr. Mat. Inst. im. V.A. Steklova, Ross. Akad. Nauk 275 (2011) 22-54. [Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 275 (2011) 15–46.]

[2] M. Aguiar, N. Bergeron, F. Sottile, Combinatorial Hopf algebras and generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations, Compositio Mathematica 142 (2006) 1-30.

[3] M. Bayer, L. Billera, Generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations for polytopes, spheres, and Eulerian partially ordered sets, Invent. Math. 79 (1985) 143-157.

[4] V. Grujić, T. Stojadinović, Hopf algebra of building sets, Electron. J. Combin. 19(4) (2012) P42.

[5] S. Joni, G.-C. Rota, Coalgebras and bialgebras in combinatorics, Stud. Appl. Math. 61 (1979) 93-139.

[6] R. Stanley, Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[7] R. Stanley, Graphs colorings and related symmetric functions: Ideas and Apllications, Discrete Math. 193 (1998) 267-286.